

### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicant has amended the claims by combining claim 15 into claim 13. All of the remaining claims are dependent upon amended independent claim 13.

The Office Action noted that claims 15-18 were objected as dependent upon a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Claim 13 is now claim 15 in independent form and all of the other allowable claims 16-18 are dependent upon claim 13, whereby they should be allowable. Further, as all of the claims are dependent upon amended claim 13, they should be allowable as well and for the same reason.

In the Office Action, claims 13, 14 and 22 were rejected over 35 U.S.C. § 102 over Sugier and later over Kalman and claims 19-21 and 24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Kalman in view of Sparks and claim 23 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Kalman in view of Seguin. Reconsideration is requested in that all of these rejected claims are now dependent upon indicated allowable independent claim 13, whereby the rejections thereof over prior art have been rendered moot.


With the amendments to the claims and the foregoing remarks, it is submitted that all of claims 13, 14, 16-24 are allowable and their allowance is requested.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to:

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on June 2, 2005:

Robert C. Faber


Name of applicant, assignee or  
Registered Representative

  
Signature

June 2, 2005

Date of Signature

Respectfully submitted,



Robert C. Faber

Registration No.: 24,322

OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP

1180 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10036-8403

Telephone: (212) 382-0700

RCF:mjb:rra